# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Self-Similar Measures in Multi-Sector Endogenous Growth Models

### Davide La Torre∗ , Simone Marsiglio† and Fabio Privileggi‡

∗Dept. of Economics, Management and Quantitative Methods – Universit`a di Milano †School of Business – James Cook University, Cairns (QLD, Australia) ‡Dept. of Economics and Statistics "Cognetti de Martiis" – Universit`a di Torino

8 th Workshop "Dynamic Models in Economics and Finance" September, 18-20, 2014 – Urbino (Italy)

## Introduction

- We analyze 2 types of stochastic discrete time multi-sector endogenous growth models:
- **1** a basic Lucas-Uzawa (1988) model and
- <sup>2</sup> an extended three sector version as in La Torre and Marsiglio (2010)
- In both models we explicitly compute the optimal dynamics which, as the models may exhibit sustained growth, can diverge in the long-run
- Thus we focus on the dynamics of (different types of capital) ratio variables
- Through a log-transformation, they become linear Iterated Function Systems (IFS) converging to some self-similar invariant measure, possibly supported on a fractal set
- We determine parameters' configurations under which such measures turn out to be singular or, in some special cases, absolutely continuous.

## The standard 2-sector Lucas-Uzawa model (1988)

$$
V(k_0, h_0, z_0) = \max_{\{c_t, u_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \ln c_t
$$
  
s.t. 
$$
\begin{cases} k_{t+1} = z_t k_t^{\alpha} (u_t h_t)^{1-\alpha} - c_t \\ h_{t+1} = b (1 - u_t) h_t \\ k_0 > 0, h_0 > 0, z_0 \in \{q, 1\} \text{ are given} \end{cases}
$$

- $\bullet$  0 <  $\beta$  < 1 rate of time preference
- $\bullet$   $c_t$  consumption
- $\bullet$   $k_t$  physical capital
- *h*t human capital
- **■** 0 < *α* < 1 physical capital share
- $\bullet$  0  $\lt u_t$   $\lt 1$  proportion of human capital employed in physical production
- $b > 0$  productivity coefficient of (linear) human capital production
- *z*t *iid* exogenous shock multiplicatively affecting final production, it takes on two values,  $z_t \in \{q, 1\}$ ,  $0 < q < 1$
- Educational choices are not affected by eventual shocks

### Assumption

*Only 2 shock values can occur with positive probability,*  $z_t \in \{q, 1\}$ , <sup>0</sup> <sup>&</sup>lt; *<sup>q</sup>* <sup>&</sup>lt; <sup>1</sup>*, each with (constant) probability p and* <sup>1</sup> <sup>−</sup> *p, respectively*

- **Interpretation: at any time, given the realization of the random** shocks, the economy may be in 2 alternative situations:
- an economic crisis due to a supply shock,  $z_t = q$ , lowering physical productivity
- 2 a business-as-usual scenario with no shocks,  $z_t = 1$ , in which the whole economy evolves along its full capacity

## Optimal dynamics computation

**•** Thanks to the log-Cobb-Douglas specification, we can apply the Verification principle to the Bellman equation and analytically obtain the value function  $V(k, h, z)$  plus the optimal dynamics of control and state variables:

$$
c_t = (1 - \alpha \beta) (1 - \beta)^{1 - \alpha} z_t k_t^{\alpha} h_t^{1 - \alpha}
$$
  
\n
$$
u_t \equiv 1 - \beta \quad \forall t
$$
  
\n
$$
k_{t+1} = \alpha \beta (1 - \beta)^{1 - \alpha} z_t k_t^{\alpha} h_t^{1 - \alpha}
$$
  
\n
$$
h_{t+1} = b \beta h_t
$$

- Consumption is proportional to output; *i.e.*, the saving rate is  $\bullet$ constant (as in Solow, 1956)
- The share of human capital employed in final production is constant (as in Bethmann, 2007)
- $k_t$  and  $h_t$  are diverging whenever  $b > 1/\beta$
- **•** Hence, we take **physical to human capital ratio**,

$$
\chi_t = \frac{k_t}{h_t},
$$

which reduces the 2-dimensional system into a 1-dimensional dynamic that evolves over time according to:

$$
\chi_{t+1} = \sigma z_t \chi_t^{\alpha},
$$
  
with  $\sigma = \frac{\alpha (1 - \beta)^{1 - \alpha}}{b}$ 

• The associated nonlinear IFS is defined by the two maps

$$
\begin{cases} f_0(\chi) = \sigma q \chi^{\alpha} & \text{with probability } p \\ f_1(\chi) = \sigma \chi^{\alpha} & \text{with probability } 1 - p \end{cases}
$$

- which eventually is being trapped into (a subset of) the compact interval  $[\chi_0^*,\chi_1^*]$   $(\chi_0^*$  and  $\chi_1^*$  are the fixed points of  $f_0$  and  $f_1)$
- $\bullet$  If [\(1\)](#page-6-0) converges to an invariant measure supported over (a subset of)  $[\chi_{0}^*,\chi_{1}^*]$ , then we have a **stochastic balanced growth path (SBGP)** equilibrium, the stochastic equivalent of a typical equilibrium in deterministic endogenous growth theory

<span id="page-6-0"></span>(1)

- Whenever  $\alpha > q$  the IFS [\(1\)](#page-6-0) turns out to be **non-contractive**, as there exists a right neighborhood of the left fixed point  $\chi_1^*$  on which  $f_1' > 1$ .
- In this case, the general theory on IFS establishing convergence to a unique invariant measure cannot be directly applied, as it is based on the assumption that the maps of the IFS are contractions
- However, the next Proposition establishes the existence of a unique invariant measure for [\(1\)](#page-6-0) indirectly.

## Conjugate linear IFS

### Proposition

*The one-to-one logarithmic transformation*  $\chi_t \to \varphi_t$  *defined by:* 

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\varphi_t = -\frac{1-\alpha}{\ln q} \ln \chi_t + 1 + \frac{\ln \sigma}{\ln q},
$$

*defines a contractive linear IFS (a* similitude*) equivalent to the original nonlinear dynamics*  $\chi_{t+1} = \sigma z_t \chi_t^{\alpha}$ , which is composed of two maps  $w_0, w_1 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  *(*0 *and* 1 *are the fixed points of*  $w_0$  *and*  $w_1$ *) given by:*

$$
\begin{cases}\nw_0(\varphi) = \alpha \varphi & \text{with probability } p \\
w_1(\varphi) = \alpha \varphi + (1 - \alpha) & \text{with probability } 1 - p.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2)

*The IFS [\(2\)](#page-8-0) converges weakly to a unique* self-similar *measure supported on an attractor which is either the interval* [0, 1] *when* 1/2 ≤ *α* ≤ 1 *or a Cantor set when* 0 < *α* < 1/2

- Apart from the constant  $\sigma = \left[ \alpha \left( 1 \beta \right)^{1 \alpha} \right] / b$ , our nonlinear—as well as linear—optimal dynamics turn out to be the same as those of the 1-sector stochastic optimal growth model in Mitra et al. (2003)
- The novelty here is that what converges to an invariant measure supported on a Cantor set is a transformation of the physical to human capital ratio (and not a transformation of physical capital)
- **•** Hence, we have shown that also an economy experiencing sustained growth can exhibit a long-run pattern related to some fractal attractor
- Specifically, the SBGP equilibrium has a fractal nature.

# A nonlinear non-contractive IFS that converges to a unique invariant measure

The following Corollary establishes weak convergence of the nonlinear IFS to a unique invariant measure also when  $\alpha > q$ , that is, when it is *non-contractive*

#### **Corollary**

*For any* 0 < *α* < 1*,* 0 < *β* < 1*,* 0 < *q* < 1*,* 0 < *p* < 1*, and b* > 1/*β (the latter envisaging sustained growth), the nonlinear IFS [\(1\)](#page-6-0) weakly converges to a unique invariant measure supported either over the full*  $\int \text{interval} \left[ \chi_0^*, \chi_1^* \right]$  *or over some subset of it. In the latter case, whenever*  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$  *the attractor of [\(1\)](#page-6-0) is a generalized topological Cantor set—i.e., totally disconnected and perfect—contained in*  $[\chi_0^*, \chi_1^*]$ 

## An example



Figure: the nonlinear maps  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  in [\(1\)](#page-6-0) when  $\alpha = 1/3$ ,  $q = 1/6$ ,  $p = 2/3$ ,  $\beta = 0.96$  and  $b = 1.052 > 1/\beta$  (sustained growth). Such IFS is non-contractive, as the Lipschitz constant  $\lambda_1 = f'_1(\chi_0^*) = \alpha/q = 2$  associated to  $f_1$  is larger than 1; its attractor is a generalized topological Cantor set as  $f_0(\chi_1^*) < f_1(\chi_0^*)$ .

## Singular vs. absolute continuous self-similar measures

### Theorem (Peres & Solomyak, 1998; Mitra et al., 2003)

*Let µ* ∗ *be the self-similar measure associated to the IFS [\(2\)](#page-8-0),*  $(\alpha \varphi, \alpha \varphi + (1 - \alpha)$ ;  $p$ ,  $(1 - p)$ ), on [0, 1].

- i) *If*  $0 < \alpha < p^p (1-p)^{1-p}$ , then  $\mu^*$  is singular.
- ii) *If*  $\alpha = p^p (1-p)^{1-p}$  and  $p \neq 1/2$ , then  $\mu^*$  is singular.
- iii) *If α* = *p* = 1/2*, then µ* ∗ *is absolutely continuous—it is the uniform (Lebesgue) measure over* [0, 1]*.*
- iv) *If* 1/3 ≤ *p* ≤ 2/3*, then µ* ∗ *is absolutely continuous for Lebesgue a.e.*  $p^p (1-p)^{1-p} < a < 1$ *.*
- v) *If* 0.156 < *p* < 1/3 *or* 2/3 < *p* < 0.844*, then µ* ∗ *is absolutely continuous for Lebesgue a.e.*  $p^p (1-p)^{1-p} < \alpha < 0.649$ *, while, for any*  $1 < \gamma \le 2$  *such*  ${\left[ p^{\gamma} + \left( 1 - p \right)^{\gamma} \right]}^{1/(\gamma-1)} < 0.649, \ \mu^*$  has density in L<sup> $\gamma$ </sup> *for Lebesgue a.e.*  $[p^{\gamma} + (1-p)^{\gamma}]^{1/(\gamma-1)} \le \alpha < 0.649$ *.*

### The last result in a bifurcation diagram



Figure: *S*: singular measure; *H*: *a.e.* absolutely continuous measures with density in  $L^2$ ; G: *a.e.* absolutely continuous measures with density in  $L^\gamma$ , with  $1 < \gamma \leq 2$ ; *U*: unknown area.

- $\bullet$  Now human capital is endogenously allocated across three sectors: 1) *physical capital*, 2) *human capital* and 3) *knowledge (technology)*.
- (Cobb-Douglas) final production uses all 3 factors, (Cobb-Douglas) knowledge production uses knowledge and human capital, while (linear) human capital uses only itself

## A 3-Sector Model II

$$
V(k_0, h_0, a_0, z_0, \eta_0) = \max_{\{c_t, u_t, v_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \ln c_t
$$
  
s.t. 
$$
\begin{cases} k_{t+1} = z_t k_t^{\alpha} (u_t h_t)^{\gamma} a_t^{1-\alpha-\gamma} - c_t \\ h_{t+1} = b (1 - u_t - v_t) h_t \\ a_{t+1} = \eta_t (v_t h_t)^{\phi} a_t^{1-\phi} \\ k_0 > 0, h_0 > 0, a_0 > 0, z_0 \in \{q_1, q_2, 1\}, \ \eta_0 \in \{r, 1\} \text{ given} \end{cases}
$$

∞

- Everything as in previous model plus:
	- $\bullet$  0  $< \gamma < 1 \alpha$  human capital share in final production
	- $\bullet$  0  $< \phi < 1$  human capital share in knowldege production
	- $\bullet$  0  $\lt$   $\nu_t$   $\lt$  1 proportion of human capital employed in knowledge production
	- *η*t another *iid* exogenous shock that multiplicatively affects only knowledge production—besides  $z_t$  affecting final production
- **•** Again educational choices are not affected by exogenous shocks

# **Uncertainty**

There are 2 *iid* exogenous shocks,  $z_t$  and  $\eta_t$ , multiplicatively affecting respectively the production of the final good and that of knowledge

#### Assumption

*Only 3 pairs of shock values can occur with positive probability,*  $(z_t, \eta_t) \in \{(q_1, r), (q_2, 1), (1, 1)\}$ , with  $0 < q_1 < q_2 < 1$  and  $0 < r < 1$ , *each with (constant) probability*  $p_0$ *,*  $p_1$ *,*  $p_2$ *,*  $0 < p_i < 1$  *for all i, respectively, with*  $\sum_{i=0}^2 p_i = 1$ 

- Interpretation: at any time the economy may be in 3 situations:
- $\bullet$  deep financial crisis with wide effects on the whole economy, involving both production and knowledge sectors:  $(z_t, \eta_t) = (q_1, r)$
- 2 a sudden surge in raw materials' (oil) prices affecting only production sector but not that of knowledge:  $(z_t, \eta_t) = (q_2, 1)$
- $\bullet$  no shocks, the economy evolves along full capacity:  $(z_t, \eta_t) = (1, 1)$

## Optimal dynamics computation

**•** Thanks to the log-Cobb-Douglas specification, we can apply the Verification principle to the Bellman equation and analytically obtain the value function  $V(k, h, z)$  plus the optimal dynamics of control and state variables:

$$
c_{t} = (1 - \alpha \beta) \overline{u}^{\gamma} z_{t} k_{t}^{\alpha} h_{t}^{\gamma} a_{t}^{1-\alpha-\gamma},
$$
  
\n
$$
u_{t} \equiv \frac{\gamma (1 - \beta) (1 - \beta + \beta \phi)}{\gamma (1 - \beta) + \beta \phi (1 - \alpha)} = \overline{u} \qquad \forall t
$$
  
\n
$$
v_{t} \equiv \frac{\beta \phi (1 - \alpha - \gamma) (1 - \beta)}{\gamma (1 - \beta) + \beta \phi (1 - \alpha)} = \overline{v} \qquad \forall t
$$
  
\n
$$
k_{t+1} = \alpha \beta \overline{u}^{\gamma} z_{t} k_{t}^{\alpha} h_{t}^{\gamma} a_{t}^{1-\alpha-\gamma}
$$
  
\n
$$
h_{t+1} = b (1 - \overline{u} - \overline{v}) h_{t}
$$
  
\n
$$
a_{t+1} = \overline{v}^{\phi} \eta_{t} h_{t}^{\phi} a_{t}^{1-\phi}
$$

## Detrended dynamics

- $k_t$  and  $h_t$  and  $a_t$  are diverging whenever  $b > 1/(1 \overline{u} \overline{v})$
- Hence, we take the the physical to human capital and the knowledge to human capital ratio variables,

<span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
\chi_t = \frac{k_t}{h_t} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_t = \frac{a_t}{h_t},
$$

which reduces the 3-dimensional system into a 2-dimensional nonlinear dynamic that evolves over time according to:

$$
\begin{cases}\n\chi_{t+1} = \Delta z_t \chi_t^{\alpha} \omega_t^{1-\alpha-\gamma} \\
\omega_{t+1} = \Theta \eta_t \omega_t^{1-\phi} \\
\text{with } \Delta = \frac{\alpha \beta \overline{u}^{\gamma}}{b \left(1 - \overline{u} - \overline{v}\right)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Theta = \frac{\overline{v}^{\phi}}{b \left(1 - \overline{u} - \overline{v}\right)}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3)

**If this system converges to an invariant measure supported over some** compact set of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , then we have a SBGP equilibrium

## Conjugate linear IFS I

### Proposition

*Assume that*  $\phi \neq 1-\alpha$  *and parameters*  $q_1$ *,*  $q_2$  *satisfy*  $q_1 < q_2^2$  *if*  $\phi < 1-\alpha$  $\sigma r$   $q_1 > q_2^2$  *if*  $\phi > 1 - \alpha$ *, and let*  $r = (q_1/q_2^2)^{\frac{1-\alpha-\phi}{1-\alpha-\gamma}}$ . *Then, the one-to-one transformation*  $(\chi_t, \omega_t) \rightarrow (\varphi_t, \psi_t)$  *defined by* 

$$
\varphi_t = \rho_1 \ln \chi_t + \rho_2 \ln \omega_t + \rho_3
$$
  

$$
\psi_t = \rho_4 \ln \omega_t + \rho_5
$$

*where*

$$
\rho_1 = -\frac{1-\alpha}{2\ln q_2}, \ \rho_2 = \frac{(1-\alpha-\gamma)(1-\alpha)}{2(1-\alpha-\phi)\ln q_2}, \ \rho_3 = 1 + \frac{1}{2\ln q_2} \left( \ln \Delta - \frac{1-\alpha-\gamma}{1-\alpha-\phi} \ln \Theta \right),
$$
  

$$
\rho_4 = \frac{(1-\alpha-\gamma)\phi}{(1-\alpha-\phi)} \ln \left( \frac{q_2^2}{q_1} \right), \ \rho_5 = 1 + \frac{(1-\alpha-\gamma)}{(1-\alpha-\phi)} \ln \left( \frac{q_1}{q_2^2} \right) \ln \Theta,
$$

*defines a contractive linear IFS which is equivalent to the nonlinear dynamics in [\(3\)](#page-18-0)*

### Proposition (... continued)

*Such IFS is composed of the following 3 maps*  $w_0$ *,*  $w_1$ *,*  $w_2$  *:*  $\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ *:* 

$$
\begin{cases}\nw_0(\varphi, \psi) = (\alpha \varphi, (1 - \phi) \psi) & \text{with prob. } p_0 \\
w_1(\varphi, \psi) = (\alpha \varphi + (1 - \alpha) / 2, (1 - \phi) \psi + \phi) & \text{with prob. } p_1 \\
w_2(\varphi, \psi) = (\alpha \varphi + (1 - \alpha), (1 - \phi) \psi) & \text{with prob. } p_2\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(4)

*and converges weakly to a unique self-similar measure supported on a* generalized Sierpinski gasket *with vertices* (0, 0)*,* (1/2, 1) *and* (1, 0)

• Rewriting  $(4)$  as

<span id="page-20-0"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n\varphi_{t+1} = \alpha \varphi_t + \zeta_t \\
\psi_{t+1} = (1 - \phi) \psi_t + \vartheta_t,\n\end{cases}
$$

one can see that the random vector  $(\zeta_t, \vartheta_t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  taking the 3 values  $(0, 0)$ ,  $((1 - \alpha) / 2, \phi)$  and  $(1 - \alpha, 0)$  corresponds to the 3 values  $(q_1, r)$ ,  $(q_2, 1)$  and  $(1, 1)$  for the original random variables  $(z_t, \eta_t)$ 

If the contraction mappings  $w_i$  in a IFS on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are similitudes, *i.e.*, if there exist numbers  $0 < \lambda_i < 1$  such that

$$
d(w_i(x), w_i(y)) = \lambda_i d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \quad i = 0, ..., m-1,
$$

the attractor  $\mathcal{A}^*$  and the invariant measure  $\mu^*$  of the IFS are said to be self-similar

- An IFS satisfies the open set condition (OSC) if there exists a nonempty open set *U* such that  $w_i$  (*U*)  $\subset U$  for all  $i = 0, \ldots, m - 1$ and  $w_i$  (*U*)  $\cap w_i$  (*U*) =  $\varnothing$  for all  $i \neq j$
- The OSC requires that the image sets of the attractor,  $w_i\left(A^*\right)$ , have only "*small overlap*" ("just touching")

### Theorem (Ngai and Wang, 2005)

Let  $(w; p)$  be an IFS on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with maps  $w_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  defined by  $w_i(x) = \lambda_i Q_i x + \xi_i$ ,  $i = 0, \ldots, m-1$ , where  $0 < \lambda_i < 1$ ,  $\xi_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $Q_i$ *is an orthogonal n*  $\times$  *n matrix, and let p* =  $(p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{m-1})$  *be the associated probability weights. Denote by µ* ∗ *the self-similar invariant measure defined by* (*w*; *p*)

- i) If  $\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} p_i^{p_i} \lambda_i^{-np_i} > 1$ , then  $\mu^*$  is singular
- ii) *If*  $\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} p_i^{p_i} \lambda_i^{-np_i} = 1$  *but*  $p_i \neq \lambda_i^n$  for some i, then  $\mu^*$  is *singular*
- iii) *If*  $p_i = \lambda_i^n$  for all  $i = 0, ..., m-1$ , then  $\mu^*$  is absolutely *continuous if and only if the IFS* (*w*; *p*) *satisfies the open set condition (OSC). In this case µ* ∗ *is the uniform (n-dimensional Lebesgue) measure over the attractor*  $A^*$  ⊂  $\mathbb{R}^n$
- The (critical) assumption  $\phi \neq 1 \alpha$  in our result establishing the one-to-one correpondence between the nonlinear dynamics in [\(3\)](#page-18-0) and the linear IFS [\(4\)](#page-20-0) implies that *the latter cannot be a similitude*
- This precludes the possibility of applying the Ngai and Wang (2005) Theorem to say something on singularity vs.absolute continuity of  $\mu^*$
- However, we believe that our result holds when  $\phi = 1 \alpha$  as well, and we trust we'll find a way to prove it

## A conjecture

- Hence, through a partial application of Ngai and Wang (2005) Theorem, we conjecture at least the following Proposition
- Let  $p_2 = 1 p_0 p_1$  and define the (exponential of the) *entropy of the Bernoulli process* underlying the exogenous shocks in our model as

$$
E(p_0, p_1) = p_0^{p_0} p_1^{p_1} (1 - p_0 - p_1)^{1 - p_0 - p_1}
$$

#### Proposition

*If*  $\phi = 1 - \alpha$ , then the self-similar measure  $\mu^*$  associated to our linear iFS *on the square*  $\left[0,1\right]^2$  *is singular whenever*  $0 < \alpha \leq \sqrt{E\left(p_0, p_1\right)}$ 

At any rate, nothing can be said on the possible absolute continuity of  $\mu^*$  when  $\sqrt{E\left(p_0,\, p_1\right)} < \alpha < 1$ 

### An illustration of the last result



Figure: plot of the square root of of the entropy curve,  $\sqrt{E(p_0, p_1)}$ , on the unitary simplex. Any *α*-value on or below such curve characterizes an IFS that weakly converges to a singular self-similar measure supported on a generalized Sierpinski gasket with vertices  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(1/2, 1)$  and  $(1, 0)$ .

- We keep constant  $\beta = 0.96$ ,  $q_1 = 0.2$  and  $q_2 = 0.6$ , we set  $\gamma = \phi$ and  $b = 1/(1 - \overline{u} - \overline{v}) + 0.01$ , so to have always sustained growth
- *γ* = *φ* implies that  $r = q_1/q_2^2 \equiv 0.556$  and  $q_1 = 0.2 < 0.36 = q_2^2$ holds, which implies that we must choose values for the key parameters *<sup>α</sup>*, *<sup>φ</sup>* satisfying *<sup>φ</sup>* <sup>&</sup>lt; <sup>1</sup> <sup>−</sup> *<sup>α</sup>*
- We decide to link parameter *φ* to our choice of parameter *α* according to

$$
\phi=1-\alpha-0.001,
$$

so that  $\phi < 1 - \alpha$  holds, but at the same time we keep very close to the condition  $\phi = 1 - \alpha$  required by the last Proposition

## Example 1



Figure: (a) first 8 iterations of the linear IFS for (a)  $\alpha = 0.5$ ,  $\phi = 0.499$ , and (b) its corresponding distorted nonlinear counterpart.  $(\chi_0^*,\omega_0^*),\, (\chi_1^*,\omega_1^*)$  and  $(\chi_2^*, \omega_2^*)$  are the fixed points of the 3 maps of the nonlinear IFS. As  $\alpha = 1/2 < \mathsf{min} \, \sqrt{E\left(p_{0}, p_{1}\right)} \cong 0.5806,\, \mu^{*}$  should be *singular*.

Example 2



Figure: (a) first 8 iterations of the linear IFS for (a)  $\alpha = 0.4$ ,  $\phi = 0.599$ , and (b) its corresponding distorted nonlinear counterpart. Again *µ* ∗ should be *singular*, as clearly confirmed by the strong no-overlapping of the prefractals.

## <span id="page-29-0"></span>Example 3



Figure: (a) first 8 iterations of the linear IFS for (a)  $\alpha = 1/\sqrt{3}$ ,  $\phi = 0.4216$ , and (b) its nonlinear counterpart. Again, as  $\alpha = 1/\sqrt{3} \approx 0.5774 < \min \sqrt{E(p_0, p_1)}$ ∼= 0.5806, *µ* ∗ should be *singular*, although the degree of overlapping of the prefrectals would lead to believe that it may be absolutely continuous.