Applications to financial markets: Higher-dimensional discrete dynamical systems in heterogeneous-agent asset pricing models

Speculation, diversification and price dynamics in a multiple-asset framework with heterogeneous investors

Roberto Dieci (*roberto.dieci@unibo.it*) Department of Mathematics for Economics and Social Sciences University of Bologna, Italy

(adapted from joint work with:

Carl Chiarella (*carl.chiarella@uts.edu.au*) School of Finance and Economics, UTS Sydney, Australia

Laura Gardini (*laura.gardini@uniurb.it*) Dipartimento di Economia e Metodi Quantitativi, Università di Urbino)

Tutorial Workshop "Discrete Dynamical Systems and Applications" University of Urbino, June 30 - July 3, 2010

1 Model framework

- Two-risky assets, one risk-free asset
- Agents adopt simple rules to form and update first- and second-moment beliefs on the joint distribution of asset returns
- Heterogeneous investors, *fundamentalists* and *chartists*
- 'Market maker' price setting mechanism
- Focus on the 'behavioral' determinants of the co-movements of asset prices: trend extrapolation and dynamic updating of variance / covariance beliefs determine the coupling of otherwise independent subsystems (asset markets)

- 2 One risky asset (and the risk-free asset)
 - Wealth dynamics

$$\Omega_{t+1} - \Omega_t = \Omega_t r_f + \zeta_t \pi_{t+1}$$

• Asset demand of myopic mean-variance investors

$$\zeta_t = \frac{E_t \left[\pi_{t+1} \right]}{\alpha Var_t \left[\pi_{t+1} \right]}$$

 Ω_t : wealth at time t

 ζ_t : amount of wealth to be invested in the risky asset in period (t, t+1) π_{t+1} : excess return on the risky asset over the same period

- r_f : risk-free return
- $\alpha :$ CARA coefficient
- E_t, Var_t : agent's conditional expectation / variance

• Using log-returns (P_t denotes log price):

$$\zeta_t = \frac{m_t + d - r}{\alpha V_t}$$

 $m_t := E_t(P_{t+1} - P_t)$: expected 'price return' $d := E_t(d_{t+1})$: (constant) expected 'dividend return' $V_t := Var_t [P_{t+1} - P_t + d_{t+1}]$: variance of the (excess) return

- Common (constant) beliefs about first and second moment of d_{t+1} (uncorrelated with price changes)
- Heterogeneous beliefs about price component of return

Heterogeneous agents' beliefs and demands

• Fundamentalists (superscript f): mean reversion, constant variance

$$m_t^f := E_t^f (P_{t+1} - P_t) = \eta (W - P_t) \qquad \eta > 0$$

$$V_t^f := Var_t^f [P_{t+1} - P_t + d_{t+1}] = \sigma^2$$

W: log-fundamental value

• Fundamentalist demand

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_t^f &= a(W - P_t) + h \\ a &: = \frac{\eta}{\alpha^f \sigma^2}, \quad h := \frac{d - r}{\alpha^f \sigma^2} \end{aligned}$$

• Chartists (superscript c): extrapolation through exponentially weighted moving averages (EWMA) results in the following adaptive rules, see, e.g. Chiarella et al. (Appl. Math. Finance 2005)

$$m_t^c = E_t^c(P_{t+1} - P_t) := \xi_t$$

$$\xi_t = (1 - c)\xi_{t-1} + c(P_t - P_{t-1})$$

$$V_t^c := Var_t^c [P_{t+1} - P_t + d_{t+1}] = \sigma^2 + v_t$$

$$v_t = (1 - c)v_{t-1} + c(1 - c)(P_t - P_{t-1} - \xi_{t-1})^2$$

- Extrapolation parameter c, 0 < c < 1: weight given to the most recent observation
- Chartist demand

$$\zeta_t^c = \frac{\xi_t + d - r}{\alpha^c (v_t + \sigma^2)}$$

Price setting rule and price dynamics

• (log-)price change $P_{t+1} - P_t$ directly related to excess demand (desired asset holding)

$$P_{t+1} = P_t + \beta [\zeta_t^f + \zeta_t^c - Z] \qquad (\beta > 0)$$

Z: a threshold related to existing supply of asset and some 'target' level of market maker inventory

Z set equal to steady state asset holding for simplicity

• Dynamical system driven by the 3D map (q := P - W)

$$T_1: \begin{cases} q' = F(q,\xi,v) := q + \beta[-aq + (\zeta^c - \overline{\zeta}^c)] \\ \xi' = G(q,\xi,v) := (1-c)\xi + c(F(q,\xi,v) - q) \\ v' = H(q,\xi,v) := (1-c)v + c(1-c)(F(q,\xi,v) - q - \xi)^2 \end{cases}$$

where

$$\zeta^c = \frac{\xi + d - r}{\alpha^c (v + \sigma^2)}, \quad \overline{\zeta}^c := \frac{d - r}{\alpha^c \sigma^2}$$

Steady state stability in the single-risky-asset model

- O := (0, 0, 0) unique steady state
- Jacobian matrix is block diagonal

$$DT_1(O) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 - c \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - a\beta & \beta\theta \\ -c\beta a & 1 - c + c\beta\theta \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\theta := 1/(\alpha^c \sigma^2)$: strength of chartist demand (at the steady state)

• Eigenvalue $\lambda_3 = 1 - c$, $0 < \lambda_3 < 1$, local stability / bifurcations investigated based on the characteristic roots λ_1 and λ_2 associated with 2D block **A**

Single-risky-asset model Stability region in the space of parameters (*c*,*a*)



3 Two risky assets (and the risk-free asset)

- Risky assets (and asset-specific quantities) indexed with i = 1, 2
- Beliefs $m_{i,t}$, $V_{i,t}$, d_i with the same meaning as the single-risky-asset case, formed / updated in a similar way
- In addition, beliefs about returns covariance and correlation matter

$$S_t := Cov_t (P_{1,t+1} - P_{1,t} + d_{1,t+1}, P_{2,t+1} - P_{2,t} + d_{2,t+1})$$

$$\rho_t = \frac{S_t}{\sqrt{V_{1,t}V_{2,t}}}$$

• Wealth dynamics

$$\Omega_{t+1} - \Omega_t = \Omega_t r_f + \sum_{i=1}^2 \zeta_{i,t} \pi_{i,t+1}$$

 $\zeta_{i,t}$: amount of wealth to be invested in the *i*th risky asset in period (t, t+1) $\pi_{i,t+1}$: excess return on the *i*th risky asset over the same period

• Asset demands of myopic mean-variance investors

$$\begin{split} \zeta_{1,t} &= \frac{1}{(1-\rho_t^2)} \frac{(m_{1,t}+d_1-r)}{\alpha V_{1,t}} - \frac{\rho_t \sqrt{V_{2,t}}}{(1-\rho_t^2)\sqrt{V_{1,t}}} \frac{(m_{2,t}+d_2-r)}{\alpha V_{2,t}} \\ \zeta_{2,t} &= \frac{1}{(1-\rho_t^2)} \frac{(m_{2,t}+d_2-r)}{\alpha V_{2,t}} - \frac{\rho_t \sqrt{V_{1,t}}}{(1-\rho_t^2)\sqrt{V_{2,t}}} \frac{(m_{1,t}+d_1-r)}{\alpha V_{1,t}} \end{split}$$

• Demand for each asset consists of a *direct* component and a *hedging* component

Heterogeneous agents' beliefs and demands

• Fundamentalists: mean reversion, constant second-moment beliefs

$$m_{i,t}^f = \eta_i (W_i - P_{i,t}), \quad V_{i,t}^f = \sigma_i^2, \quad \rho_t^f = \delta, \quad S_t^f = \delta \sigma_1 \sigma_2$$

• Fundamentalist demand

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{1,t}^f &= a_1(W_1 - P_{1,t}) - b_2(W_2 - P_{2,t}) + h_1 \\ \zeta_{2,t}^f &= a_2(W_2 - P_{2,t}) - b_1(W_1 - P_{1,t}) + h_2 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_{i} := \frac{\eta_{i}}{\alpha^{f}(1-\delta^{2})\sigma_{i}^{2}}, \quad b_{i} = \frac{\delta\eta_{i}}{\alpha^{f}(1-\delta^{2})\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}}$$

$$h_{1} := \frac{1}{(1-\delta^{2})}\frac{d_{1}-r}{\alpha^{f}\sigma_{1}^{2}} - \frac{\delta\sigma_{2}}{(1-\delta^{2})\sigma_{1}}\frac{d_{2}-r}{\alpha^{f}\sigma_{2}^{2}}$$

$$h_{2} := \frac{1}{(1-\delta^{2})}\frac{d_{2}-r}{\alpha^{f}\sigma_{2}^{2}} - \frac{\delta\sigma_{1}}{(1-\delta^{2})\sigma_{2}}\frac{d_{1}-r}{\alpha^{f}\sigma_{1}^{2}}$$

• *Chartists*: adaptive rules resulting from EWMA

$$m_{i,t}^{c} = \xi_{i,t} = (1-c)\xi_{i,t-1} + c(P_{i,t} - P_{i,t-1})$$

$$V_{i,t}^{c} = \sigma_{i}^{2} + v_{i,t}, \quad v_{i,t} = (1-c)v_{i,t-1} + c(1-c)(P_{i,t} - P_{i,t-1} - \xi_{i,t-1})^{2}$$

$$S_{t}^{c} = \delta\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2} + K_{t}$$

$$K_{t} = (1-c)K_{t-1} + c(1-c)(P_{1,t} - P_{1,t-1} - \xi_{1,t-1})(P_{2,t} - P_{2,t-1} - \xi_{2,t-1})$$

$$\rho_{t}^{c} = \frac{K_{t} + \delta\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}}{\sqrt{(v_{1,t} + \sigma_{1}^{2})(v_{2,t} + \sigma_{2}^{2})}}$$

• Chartist demand

$$\begin{split} \zeta_{1,t}^{c} = & \frac{1}{(1-\rho_{t}^{c\,2})} \frac{(\xi_{1,t}+d_{1}-r)}{\alpha^{c}(v_{1,t}+\sigma_{1}^{2})} - \frac{\rho_{t}^{c}\sqrt{v_{2,t}+\sigma_{2}^{2}}}{(1-\rho_{t}^{c\,2})\sqrt{v_{1,t}+\sigma_{1}^{2}}} \frac{(\xi_{2,t}+d_{2}-r)}{\alpha^{c}(v_{2,t}+\sigma_{2}^{2})} \\ \zeta_{2,t}^{c} = & \frac{1}{(1-\rho_{t}^{c\,2})} \frac{(\xi_{2,t}+d_{2}-r)}{\alpha^{c}(v_{2,t}+\sigma_{2}^{2})} - \frac{\rho_{t}^{c}\sqrt{v_{1,t}+\sigma_{1}^{2}}}{(1-\rho_{t}^{c\,2})\sqrt{v_{2,t}+\sigma_{2}^{2}}} \frac{(\xi_{1,t}+d_{1}-r)}{\alpha^{c}(v_{1,t}+\sigma_{1}^{2})} \end{split}$$

Price dynamics

Dynamics of asset prices / beliefs driven by a 7D map $(q_i := P_i - W_i)$

$$T_{2}: \begin{cases} q_{1}' = q_{1} + \beta_{1}[-a_{1}q_{1} + b_{2}q_{2} + (\zeta_{1}^{c} - \overline{\zeta}_{1}^{c})] \\ q_{2}' = q_{2} + \beta_{2}[-a_{2}q_{2} + b_{1}q_{1} + (\zeta_{2}^{c} - \overline{\zeta}_{2}^{c})] \\ \xi_{i}' = (1 - c)\xi_{i} + c(q_{i}' - q_{i}) \quad i = 1, 2 \\ v_{i}' = (1 - c)v_{i} + c(1 - c)(q_{i}' - q_{i} - \xi_{i})^{2} \quad i = 1, 2 \\ K' = (1 - c)K + c(1 - c)(q_{1}' - q_{1} - \xi_{1})(q_{2}' - q_{2} - \xi_{2}) \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1^{(c)} &= \frac{(v_2 + \sigma_2^2)(\xi_1 + d_1 - r) - (K + \delta\sigma_1\sigma_2)(\xi_2 + d_2 - r)}{\alpha^c [(v_1 + \sigma_1^2)(v_2 + \sigma_2^2) - \delta^2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2 - K^2 - 2K\delta\sigma_1\sigma_2]} \\ \zeta_2^{(c)} &= \frac{(v_1 + \sigma_1^2)(\xi_2 + d_2 - r) - (K + \delta\sigma_1\sigma_2)(\xi_1 + d_1 - r)}{\alpha^c [(v_1 + \sigma_1^2)(v_2 + \sigma_2^2) - \delta^2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2 - K^2 - 2K\delta\sigma_1\sigma_2]} \end{aligned}$$

$$\overline{\zeta}_1^c := \frac{1}{(1-\delta^2)} \frac{d_1-r}{\alpha^c \sigma_1^2} - \frac{\delta \sigma_2}{(1-\delta^2)\sigma_1} \frac{d_2-r}{\alpha^c \sigma_2^2}$$
$$\overline{\zeta}_2^c := \frac{1}{(1-\delta^2)} \frac{d_2-r}{\alpha^c \sigma_2^2} - \frac{\delta \sigma_1}{(1-\delta^2)\sigma_2} \frac{d_1-r}{\alpha^c \sigma_1^2}$$

Steady state stability in the two-risky-asset model

- $O = \mathbf{0}$ unique steady state
- Jacobian matrix (at the steady state) is upper block triangular

$$DT_2(O) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{0} & (1-c)\mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}$$

A: four-dimensional matrix

- Eigenvalues $\lambda_5 = \lambda_6 = \lambda_7 = 1 c, \ 0 < 1 c < 1$
- Local stability / bifurcations investigated based on characteristic roots λ_1 , λ_2 , λ_3 , λ_4 associated with 4D block **A**.

Steady state stability (continued)

• Particular case of zero exogenous correlation ($\delta = 0$), matrix **A** block diagonal

$$\mathbf{A} = \left[egin{array}{cc} \mathbf{A}_1 & \mathbf{0} \ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}_2 \end{array}
ight]$$

Matrices \mathbf{A}_i , i = 1, 2, as in the one-asset case

$$\mathbf{A}_{i} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 - a_{i}\beta_{i} & \beta_{i}\theta_{i} \\ -c\beta_{i}a_{i} & 1 - c + c\beta_{i}\theta_{i} \end{array} \right]$$

 $\theta_i := 1/(\alpha^c \sigma_i^2)$: strength of chartist demand in the *i*th market

- Asset markets decouple from each other in the linearized system around the steady state, local behavior of asset 1 (asset 2) uniquely associated with the eigenvalues of A_1 (A_2)
- Increasing extrapolation (parameter c), combined with differences in assetspecific parameters $(d_i, \sigma_i^2, \beta_i)$, results in asynchronous loss of stability of the 'fundamental' steady states in the two asset markets, via a Neimark-Sacker bifurcation followed by a 'secondary' bifurcation.

Numerical example

- At the steady state, asset 2 has higher expected excess return and higher volatility than asset 1, $d_2 r > d_1 r$, $\sigma_2 > \sigma_1$
- Therefore, strength of chartist demand $(\theta_i := 1/(\alpha^c \sigma_i^2))$ is higher in market 1 than in market 2.
- Chartists are less risk averse than fundamentalists ($\alpha^c < \alpha^f$).
- Joint representation of the regions S_i of the parameter plane (c, a_i) where the two eigenvalues associated with market *i* are of modulus smaller than 1
- For a given choice of c, a_1 , a_2 , the steady state O is locally asymptotically stable when (c, a_1) lies inside S_1 and (c, a_2) lies inside S_2
- 3 dynamic scenarios, associated with increasing levels of extrapolation: (i) both prices stable; (ii) fluctuations of price 1 while market 2 stable; (iii) both markets destabilized

Parameter region of 'stability' of the eigenvalues associated with market *i*



Parameter regions of 'stability' of the eigenvalues associated with market 1 (solid boundary) and market 2 (dashed boundary)



Different stability scenarios



Effect of the 'secondary' bifurcation





