Endogenous Timing and Strategic Managerial Incentives in a Duopoly Game

Michael Kopel Vienna University of Technology Institute of Management Science

(joint work with Clemens Löffler)

MDEF 2008, Urbino, Sept 25-27

Competitive commitment strategies

- "First Move": (Stackelberg-)Leader vs. Follower
- Building up Capacity
- Strategic delegation/Organizational mode: Hire a manager
- Technology: Investing in (process) innovation

Anectotal evidence for FMA and SMA

- "The early bird gets the worm... but the second mouse gets the cheese."
- First-Mover Advantage
 - Austrian Airlines is FM in Iraq
 - Neumann is FM with digital microphones
 - Airbus vs. Boeing and the A380
- Second-Mover Advantage
 - VHS vs. Betamax, MS Word vs. WordStar
 - GM und VW vs. Hyundai und Chery in China

Research questions

- Are commitment strategies complements or substitutes?
- Can the leader or the follower profit from additional commitments?
- What is the incentive contract/organizational mode in a "dynamic" production environment?

Time line of our model (The past)



The model

- Let $p=a-q_L-q_F$ und $C_k=cq_k$, k=F,L
- L-Owners und F-Owners
 - Delegate quantity choice to a manager
 - Select compensation contract = $s + \beta(\Pi_{L/F} + \alpha_{L/F}q_{L/F})$ ($\geq U=0$)
 - Select investment in process innovation x_{L/F}: reduced cost c- x_{L/F} with investment costs rx_{L/F}²/2
- Leader-Manager/Owner determine q_L
- Follower-Manager/Owner determine q_F



F

		ON	DN	OI	DI
	ON	$\pi_L^{ONON}, \pi_F^{ONON}$	$\pi_L^{ONDN}, \pi_F^{ONDN}$	$\pi_L^{ONOI}, \pi_F^{ONOI}$	$\pi_L^{ONDI}, \pi_F^{ONDI}$
L	DN	$\pi_L^{DNON}, \pi_F^{DNON}$	$\pi_L^{DNDN}, \pi_F^{DNDN}$	$\pi_L^{DNOI}, \pi_F^{DNOI}$	$\pi_L^{DNDI}, \pi_F^{DNDI}$
	OI	$\pi_L^{OION}, \pi_F^{OION}$	$\pi_L^{OIDN}, \pi_F^{OIDN}$	$\pi_L^{OIOI}, \pi_F^{OIOI}$	$(\pi_L^{OIDI}, \pi_F^{OIDI})$
	DI	$\pi_L^{DION}, \pi_F^{DION}$	$\pi_L^{DIDN}, \pi_F^{DIDN}$	$\pi_L^{DIOI}, \pi_F^{DIOI}$	$\pi_L^{DIDI}, \pi_F^{DIDI}$

 $\alpha_{\scriptscriptstyle F}^{\scriptscriptstyle OIDI} > \alpha_{\scriptscriptstyle L}^{\scriptscriptstyle OIDI} = 0 \ x_{\scriptscriptstyle F}^{\scriptscriptstyle OIDI} > x_{\scriptscriptstyle L}^{\scriptscriptstyle OIDI} \ q_{\scriptscriptstyle F}^{\scriptscriptstyle OIDI} > q_{\scriptscriptstyle L}^{\scriptscriptstyle OIDI} \ \Pi_{\scriptscriptstyle F}^{\scriptscriptstyle OIDI} > \Pi_{\scriptscriptstyle L}^{\scriptscriptstyle OIDI}$

7



Conclusions

- Stackelberg + R&D complementary
- Stackelberg + Delegation are not!
- FMA can be reversed
- Organizational (or contract) forms differ

However: Timing exogenous!

Extended game with observable delay (The present)



The extended model

- Let $p_i = a q_i \theta q_j$, $p_j = a q_j \theta q_i$ with $\theta \in (0,1]$ ■ $C_k = cq_k$, k = i, j
- Owners choose First/Second
- Delegation + Compensation $s+\beta(\Pi_k+\alpha_kq_k) \quad (\geq Z_k > 0)$
- Manager/Owner selects q_L / q_F





Dynamic versions of a Stackelberg game (The future)

- Let $p=f(\Sigma q_j), C_k=cq_k, k=1,2,...,n$
- Each firm i strives to be a leader
- Assumes other firms behave as followers with Cournot expectations
- Leader firm anticipates this
- Works for nonlinear oligopolies with N firms!

Dynamic versions of a 2-stage game with process innovation (The future)

- Let $p=a-q_1-q_2$ und $C_k=cq_k$, k=1,2
- Investment in process innovation x_k: reduced cost c-x_k with investment costs rx_k²/2
- Determine quantities q₁ and q₂ simultaneously

Dynamic versions of a 2-stage game with process innovation (The future)



How can we capture a dynamic evolution of such a 2-stage game?